

10/593,791 . . . . Proposed Amendment Filed with Corrected Appeal Brief . . . . Page 12

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-70 were previously canceled. Claims 84 and 100-111 have been canceled in this Amendment. Upon entry this Amendment, claims 71-83 and 85-99 remain for consideration. The Examiner has given the following grounds of rejections:

- (1) Obviousness rejection of claims 71-100 and 104-111 over Delaite et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,586,528), in view of Langhauser et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,753,773), Job et al. (U.S. Pat. Appl. Pub. No. 2002/0037979), and Rohmann et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,103,030).
- (2) Obviousness rejection of claims 71, 73, 83, 85, 87, 89, and 109 over the four references of above (1) plus Kawamura et al. (U.S. Pat. Appl. Pub. No. 2002/0009563).
- (3) Obviousness rejection of claims 100, 101, and 103 over the four references of above (1) plus Henderson (U.S. Pat. Appl. Pub. No. 2004/0033349).
- (4) Obviousness rejection of claim 102 over the four references of above (1) plus Anderson et al. (U.S. Pat. Appl. Pub. No. 20040029469).
- (5) Obviousness rejection of claim 104 over the four references of above (1) plus Agarwal (U.S. Pat. No. 6,699,543).

After entering this Amendment, the grounds of rejections (3), (4), and (5) should be withdrawn. Further, the ground of rejection (1) should only apply to remaining claims 71-83 and 85-99.

10/593,791 . . . . Proposed Amendment Filed with Corrected Appcal Brief . . . . Page 13

Applicants respectfully invite the Examiner to phone their attorney, Shao-Hua Guo, if any further discussion of this application is found to be helpful.

Respectfully submitted,  
Alexander Fuchs et al.

By: 

Shao-Hua Guo  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 44,728  
LyondellBasell Industries  
Phone: (610) 359-2455  
09/01/2010  
Customer Number: 24114